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#### Abstract

SUMMARY Activity coefficients at infinite dilution for benzene, cyclohexane, pentane and heptane in mixtures of squalane and dinonyl phthalate at $303^{\circ} \mathrm{K}$ have been determined by the extrapolation of measurements made using a vacuum microbalance technique. Partition coefficients for the solutes at infinite dilution in the solvent mixtures have been derived and found to differ by as much as $6 \%$ from those calculated from the partition coefficients for the pure single solvents using the Purnell-Andrade equation, whereas those calculated on the basis of the Flory-Huggins solution theory differ from the experimentally derived values by no more than $1 \%$.


## INTRODUCTION

In a previous note ${ }^{1}$ the activity coefficients at infinite dilution for hexane in squalane-dinonylphthalate (DNP) solvent mixtures were reported and used to demonstrate the deviation of the system from the relationship proposed by Purnell and Vargas de Andrade ${ }^{2}$ to predict the behaviour of mixed solvents in gas-liquid chromatography (GLC). We have now determined activity coefficients for benzene, cyclohexane, pentane and heptane in the lower solute concentration region so that activity coefficients at infinite dilution can be derived and the deviation from the Purnell-Andrade relation examined further.

## EXPERIMENTAL

Absorption isotherms were determined as before ${ }^{1}$, using a Sartorius Model 4102 electronic vacuum microbalance in conjunction with a Texas Instruments quartz Bourdon gauge. The apparatus, the techniques and the materials have been described previously ${ }^{1.3 .4}$. The temperature of the absorption isotherms was controlled at $303.04 \pm 0.01{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{K}$. A nominal temperature of $303^{\circ} \mathrm{K}$ is used throughout.

TABLE I
ABSORPTION OF SOLUTES (A) IN SQUALANE (B)-DNP (C) MIXTURES AT $303^{\circ} \mathrm{K}$ $n_{B}: n_{C}=$ solvent mole ratio; other symbols: see text.

| $n_{B}: n_{C}$ | Benzene |  |  | Cyclohexane |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $x_{s}$ | $P_{A}(m m H g)$ | $\ln \mathrm{\gamma A}$ | $\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{A}}$ | $P_{A}(m m \mathrm{mg})$ | In $\gamma_{A}$ |
| 3:1 | 0.0901 | 6.91 | $-0.4290$ | 0.0986 | 6.95 | -0.5318 |
|  | 0.1631 | 13.05 | -0.3869 | 0.1639 | 12.06 | -0.4890 |
|  | 0.2258 | 18.77 | $-0.3490$ | 0.2378 | 18.27 | -0.4464 |
|  | 0.2818 | 24.18 | -0.3177 | 0.2986 | 23.85 | -0.4081 |
|  | 0.3368 | 29.86 | -0.2856 | 0.3598 | 29.90 | $-0.3690$ |
|  | 0.3900 | 35.64 | -0.2557 | 0.4143 | 35.66 | -0.3345 |
|  | 0.4419 | 41.72 | -0.2237 | 0.4720 | 42.27 | -0.2954 |
|  | 0.4859 | 47.10 | $-0.1976$ | 0.5149 | 47.51 | -0.2658 |
| 1:1 | 0.0899 | 6.43 | -0.4987 | 0.0876 | 6.86 | -0.4260 |
|  | 0.1626 | 12.21 | -0.4506 | 0.1524 | 12.43 | -0.3866 |
|  | 0.2345 | 18.35 | -0.4094 | 0.2162 | 18.20 | -0.3551 |
|  | 0.2972 | 24.17 | -0.3715 | 0.2744 | 23.85 | -0.3237 |
|  | 0.3555 | 29.99 | -0.3353 | 0.3322 | 29.83 | -0.2916 |
|  | 0.4101 | 35.81 | -0.3013 | 0.3878 | 35.86 | -0.2629 |
|  | 0.4660 | 42.28 | -0.2633 | 0.4366 | 41.53 | -0.2348 |
|  | 0.5115 | 47.76 | -0.2351 | 0.4842 | 47.30 | -0.2089 |
| 1:3 | 0.1152 | 8.02 | -0.5261 | 0.0663 | 6.09 | $-0.2666$ |
|  | 0.1737 | 12.55 | -0.4888 | 0.1273 | 11.98 | -0.2430 |
|  | 0.2408 | 18.13 | -0.4483 | 0.1848 | 17.78 | -0.2214 |
|  | 0.3057 | 24.02 | -0.4061 | 0.2389 | 23.52 | -0.1992 |
|  | 0.3687 | 30.19 | $-0.3652$ | 0.2924 | 29.43 | -0.1773 |
|  | 0.4229 | 35.87 | -0.3304 | 0.3464 | 35.63 | -0.1562 |
|  | 0.4718 | 41.44 | -0.2957 | 0.3931 | 41.24 | -0.1369 |
|  | 0.5229 | 47.58 | -0.2609 | 0.4421 | 47.29 | $-0.1180$ |
|  | Pentane |  |  | Heptane |  |  |
|  | $x_{A}$ | $P_{A}(\mathrm{mmHg})$ | $\ln \gamma^{\prime}$ | $x_{A}$ | $P_{A}(m m H g)$ | In $\gamma_{A}$ |
| 3:1 | 0.0733 | 29.96 | $-0.3668$ | 0.0750 |  | $-0.2956$ |
|  | 0.1411 | 59.50 | -0.3371 | 0.1401 | 6.18 | $-0.2690$ |
|  | 0.2052 | 89.35 | -0.3068 | 0.1979 | 8.97 | -0.2418 |
|  | 0.2638 | 118.43 | -0.2783 | 0.2567 | 11.94 | -0.2166 |
|  | 0.3212 | 148.59 | -0.2502 | 0.3164 | 15.06 | -0.1938 |
|  | 0.3740 | 117.93 | -0.2241 | 0.3673 | 17.85 | $-0.1733$ |
|  | 0.4248 | 207.68 | -0.1987 | 0.4163 | 20.68 | -0.1517 |
|  | 0.4730 | 237.33 | -0.1747 | 0.4669 | 23.64 | -0.1331 |
| $1: 1$ | 0.0652 | 29.75 | -0.2577 | 0.0607 | 2.96 | -0.1689 |
|  | 0.1292 | 60.51 | -0.2323 | 0.1363 | 6.78 | -0.1456 |
|  | 0.1870 | 89.76 | -0.2092 | 0.1783 | 9.03 | -0.1328 |
|  | 0.2429 | 119.46 | -0.1869 | 0.2316 | 11.92 | -0.1167 |
|  | 0.2953 | 148.47 | -0.1660 | 0.2835 | 14.78 | -0.1014 |
|  | 0.3474 | 178.74 | -0.1454 | 0.3353 | 17.78 | -0.0864 |
|  | 0.3953 | 207.76 | -0.1267 | 0.3876 | 20.87 | -0.0719 |
|  | 0.4424 | 237.23 | -0.1087 | 0.4365 | 23.79 | $-0.0588$ |
| 1:3 | 0.0581 | 30.86 | -0.1023 | 0.0506 | 2.94 | 0.0063 |
|  | 0.1112 | 60.35 | -0.0860 | 0.1011 | 5.91 | 0.0851 |
|  | 0.1620 | 89.35 | -0.0708 | 0.1503 | - 8.89 | 0.0233 |
|  | 0.2126 | 119.26 | -0.0559 | 0.2009 | 11.98 | 0.0311 |
|  | 0.2604 | 148.41 | -0.0422 | 0.2467 | 14.79 | 0.0376 |
|  | 0.3083 | 178.33 | -0.0289 | 0.2943 | 17.76 | 0.0438 |
|  | 0.3547 | 208.02 | -0.0166 | 0.3400 | 20.66 | 0.0489 |
|  | 0.3995 | 237.39 | $-0.0053$ | 0.3897 | 23.77 | 0.0537 |

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The absorption isotherms are presented in Table I in terms of the mole fraction $x_{A}$, of the solute absorbed in the involatile solvent mixture at a solute vapour pressure $P_{A}$. The activity coeficient of the solute $\gamma_{A}$ was calculated from these measurements as before ${ }^{1,5}$. Activity coefficients at infinite dilution for these systems were determined by regarding the squalane-DNP mixture as a single component and fitting the results for an individual isotherm to the Flory-Huggins expression for a binary system ${ }^{6}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ln \gamma_{\mathrm{A}}=\ln \left(\varphi_{\mathrm{A}} / x_{\mathrm{A}}\right)+1-\varphi_{\mathrm{A}} / x_{\mathrm{A}}+\left(1-\varphi_{\mathrm{A}}\right)^{2} \chi \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

In this expression (eqn. 1) the volume fraction $\varphi_{A}$ of the solute is defined in terms of the molar volumes of the components. The pseudo-binary interaction parameter $\chi$, allowed to vary linearly with $\varphi_{A}$, calculated for the solute in a particular solvent mixture enables the activity coefficient at infinite dilution $\gamma_{A}^{\infty}$ to be determined ${ }^{1}$. The $\gamma_{\mathbf{A}}^{\infty}$ value resulting from each isotherm is reported in Table II, together with those determined earlier ${ }^{5}$ for the solutes with the pure single solvents.

TABLE II
ACTIVITY COEFFICIENTS $\gamma_{A}^{\prime}$ AND PARTITION COEFFICIENTS $K_{R}$ FOR SOLUTES (A) AT INFINITE DILUTION IN SQUALANE (B)-DNP (C) MIXTURES AT $303{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{K}$
$n_{B}: n_{c}=$ solvent mole ratio.

| $n_{B}: n_{C}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{6}$ |  | $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{12}$ |  | $\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{12}$ |  | $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{14}$ |  | $\mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{16}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\gamma_{R}^{\infty}$ | $K_{R}$ | $\gamma^{\infty}$ | $K_{R}$ | $\gamma^{\infty}$ | $K_{R}$ | $\gamma_{i}^{\infty}$ | $K_{R}$ | $\gamma_{\sim}^{\infty}$ | $K_{R}$ |
| 1:0 | $0.698^{*}$ | 436 | 0.510* | 587 | $0.620^{*}$ | 98.2 | $0.640^{*}$ | 306 | 0.669* | 929 |
| 3:1 | 0.622 | 512 | 0.557 | 561 | 0.669 | 95.2 | 0.697** | 294 | 0.723 | 899 |
| 1:1 | 0.580 | 576 | 0.627 | 522 | 0.753 | 88.6 | 0.785** | 273 | 0.829 | 822 |
| 1:3 | 0.554 | 633 | 0.745 | 462 | 0.887 | 79.1 | 0.933** | 242 | 0.997 | 718 |
| 0:1 | 0.549* | 673 | $0.931{ }^{*}$ | 389 | 1.115* | 66.2 | 1.201* | 198 | 1.313* | 574 |

[^0]A partition coefficient for the solute at infinite dilution between the solvent and the vapour phase, can be calculated using the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
K_{R}=R T /\left(P_{\mathrm{A}}^{\bullet} \gamma_{\mathrm{P}, \mathrm{~A}}^{\infty} V_{\mathrm{L}}\right) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $P_{A}^{\bullet}$ is the vapour pressure of the pure solute, $\gamma_{\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{A}}}^{\infty}$ is the uncorrected activity coefficient and $V_{L}$ is the molar volume of the solvent. Values of $K_{R}$ calculated for the four solutes studied here are presented in Table II, together with the values for hexane derived from the previously reported results ${ }^{1}$. The vapour pressures, $P_{A}$, were calculated from the constants for the Antoine equation given in ref. 7. The molar volume $V_{L}$ of the squalane-DNP mixture was taken as a linear function of the mole fraction composition using the molar volumes of the two pure solvents reported

TABLE III
CORRECTION USED IN CALCULATING THE SOLUTE ACTIVITY COEFFICIENT AT INFINITE DILUTION
In $\gamma_{1}^{\prime \prime}$ - In $\gamma_{\text {P. }}^{\text {m }}$

| $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{6}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{12}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{12}$ |  | $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{14}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{16}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 0.0089 | 0.0104 | 0.0398 |  | 0.0167 | 0.0069 |

previously ${ }^{6}$. The corrections necessary to calculate the activity coefficient at infinite dilution,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ln \gamma_{\mathrm{A}}^{\infty}-\ln \gamma_{\mathrm{P}, \mathrm{~A}}^{\infty}=\left[P_{\mathrm{A}}^{\bullet}\left(V_{\mathrm{A}}^{\bullet}-B_{\mathrm{AA}}\right) / R T\right]+\left[\left(B_{\mathrm{AA}} P_{\mathrm{A}}^{\bullet}\right)^{2} / 2(R T)^{2}\right] \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

are tabulated in Table III. The second virial coefficients $B_{A A}$ and the molar volumes $V_{A}^{e}$ of the solutes employed were as given previously ${ }^{5.6}$. The inclusion of $V_{\mathrm{A}}^{\bullet}$ in eqn. 3 corrects for the effect of pressure on the activity of the liquid, and the terms in $B_{A A}$ allow for the inperfection of the vapour.

Table IV shows the partition coefficients calculated for the mixed solvents from those for the pure single solvents $K_{R(B)}$ and $K_{R(C)}$, given in Table II, using the PurnellAndrade equation ${ }^{2}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
K_{R}=\varphi_{\mathrm{B}} K_{R(\mathrm{~B})}+\varphi_{\mathrm{C}} K_{R(\mathrm{C})} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

The solvent volume fractions $\varphi_{\mathrm{B}}$ and $\varphi_{\mathrm{C}}$, like $\varphi_{\mathrm{A}}$, are defined in terms of the molar volumes of the components. The percentage deviation of the partition coefficient

TABLE IV
PARTITION COEFFICIENTS FOR THE SOLUTES AT INFINITE DILUTION IN SQUALANE (B)-DNP(C) MIXTURES AT $303{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{K}$ CALCULATED FROM THE PARTITION COEFFICIENTS IN THE PURE SOLVENTS
$n_{B}: n_{c}=$ solvent mole ratio; $K_{R}(\mathrm{PA})=$ partition coefficient calculated from the Purnell-Andrade equation (eqn. 4); $K_{R}(\mathrm{FH})=$ partition coefficient calculated from the Flory-Huggins theory (eqn. 7); $\% 1=$ percentage deviation of calculated $K_{R}$ from experimentally derived value in Table II.

| Solute | $n_{B}: n_{C}$ | $K_{R}(P A)$ | $\% \Delta$ | $K_{R}(F H)$ | $\%$, |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Benzene | $3: 1$ | 487 |  | 4.9 | 507 |
|  | $1: 1$ | 543 | 5.7 | 577 | 1.0 |
|  | $1: 3$ | 605 | 4.4 | 637 | 0.3 |
| Cyclohexane | $3: 1$ | 544 | 3.0 | 564 | 0.6 |
|  | $1: 1$ | 498 | 4.6 | 524 | 0.5 |
|  | $1: 3$ | 416 | 3.5 | 465 | 0.4 |
| Pentane | $3: 1$ | 91.3 | 4.1 | 95.0 | 0.6 |
|  | $1: 1$ | 83.7 | 5.5 | 88.7 | 0.1 |
|  | $1: 3$ | 75.4 | 4.7 | 79.0 | 0.1 |
| Hexane | $3: 1$ | 233 | 3.7 | 296 | 0.7 |
|  | $1: 1$ | 257 | 5.9 | 275 | 0.7 |
|  | $1: 3$ | 229 | 5.4 | 242 | 0.0 |
| Heptane | $3: 1$ | 852 | 5.2 | 898 | 0.1 |
|  | $1: 1$ | 769 | 6.4 | 828 | 0.7 |
|  | $1: 3$ | 676 | 5.8 | 717 | 0.1 |

$K_{R}(\mathrm{PA})$, thus calculated, from the value given in Table II based on the extrapolated experimental measurements is given in the adjacent column in Table IV.

The three isotherms reported here in Table $I$ for a particular solute in the mixed solvents may be combined with the two isotherms for that solute with the pure solvents reported previously ${ }^{5}$, and the combined results for the five isotherms fitted to the Flory-Huggins expression for a ternary system ${ }^{6}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ln \gamma_{\mathrm{A}}=\ln \left(\varphi_{\mathrm{A}} / x_{\mathrm{A}}\right)+1-\varphi_{\mathrm{A}} / x_{\mathrm{A}}+\left(1-\varphi_{\mathrm{A}}\right)\left(\varphi_{\mathrm{B}} \chi_{\mathrm{AB}}+\varphi_{\mathrm{C}} \chi_{\mathrm{AC}}\right)-\varphi_{\mathrm{B}} \varphi_{\mathrm{C}} \chi_{\mathrm{BC}} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the curve-fitting procedure the interaction parameters $\chi_{A B}$ and $\chi_{A C}$ are allowed to vary linearly with $\varphi_{\mathrm{A}}$, thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
\chi_{A B}=\chi_{A B}^{\circ}+\varphi_{A} \chi_{A B}^{\prime} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

and similarly $\chi_{A C}$, while the parameter $\chi_{\mathrm{BC}}$ for the two solvents is assumed to be constant. The isothermal results for a particular solute in the three solvent mixtures and the two pure solvents are thus represented by these parameters, which are reported in Table $V$. The interaction parameter for the two solvents $\chi_{\mathrm{BC}}$ can be used to calculate the partition coefficients in the mixed solvent phase from those in the pure solvent phase by using the relation pointed out by Perry and Tiley ${ }^{3}$, namely that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ln K_{R}=\varphi_{\mathrm{B}} \ln K_{R(\mathrm{~B})}+\varphi_{C} \ln K_{R(\mathrm{C})}+\varphi_{\mathrm{B}} \varphi_{\mathrm{C}} \chi_{\mathrm{BC}} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that our $\chi_{\mathrm{Bc}}$ is equivalent to the product of the solute molar volume and the interaction parameter used by Perry and Tiley. The partition coefficients $K_{R}(F H)$ derived on this basis are shown in Table IV. The percentage deviation of the partition coefficients calculated using the Flory-Huggins theory from those based on the experimental measurements is given in the adjacent column. The deviation of the partition coefficients calculated from the Purnell-Andrade equation, which basically assumes that the two solvents are immiscible, can be seen to be much greater than that using eqn. 7 based on conventional Flory-Huggins solution theory. The success of the Flory-Huggins theory in representing these systems can be seen by examination

TABLE V
FLORY-HUGGINS INTERACTION PARAMETERS DETERMINED FROM ANALYSIS OF THE COMBINED ISOTHERMS (THREE TERNARY-TABLE I AND REF. 1 AND TWO BINARY-REF. 5) FOR EACH SOLUTE (A) IN SQUALANE (B)-DNP (C) MIXTURES AT $303^{\circ} \mathrm{K}$
$V_{i}=$ solute molar volume.

| Solute | $\chi_{\text {Ps }}^{0}$ | $\chi$ X's | $\chi$ ic | Xic | $\chi_{\text {в }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \chi_{\mathrm{sc}} / V_{i}^{+} \\ & \left(\mathrm{mol} d \mathrm{~m}^{-3}\right) \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{6}$ | 0.5698 | 0.0824 | 0.1998 | -0.0851 | 0.3416 | 3.797 |
| $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{12}$ | 0.1135 | 0.0512 | 0.5626 | -0.0460 | 0.2900 | 2.651 |
| $\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{12}$ | 0.2454 | 0.0250 | 0.6981 | -0.0277 | 0.3076 | 2.628 |
| $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}{ }^{4}$ | 0.1908 | -0.0093 | 0.6810 | 0.0223 | 0.3658 | 2.760 |
| $\mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{16}$ | 0.1524 | 0.0365 | 0.6919 | 0.0154 | 0.4122 | 2.778 |

of the interaction parameter for the mixed solvents per unit molar volume of the solute $\chi_{\mathrm{Bc}} / \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{A}}^{*}$, shown in the last column of Table V . These values are in good agreement with each other and do not differ greatly from those found earlier for the higher concentration range ${ }^{6}$, except that for benzene. This may be an indication that benzene is involved in molecular complex formation. Should such complexing occur in these systems, then the benzene-dinonyl phthalate system is that in which it is most likely.

## CONCLUSION

Partition coefficients for benzene, cyclohexane, pentane, hexane and heptane at infinite dilution in squalane-DNP mixtures differ from the values calculated by the Purnell-Andrade equation by as much as $6 \%$. Values calculated on the basis of the Flory-Huggins solution theory, allowing for interaction between the two solvents, differ from the experimental values by no more than $1 \%$. This supports the previous conclusion ${ }^{1}$ that the equation proposed by Purnell and Vargas de Andrade may be a useful approximation for predicting the behaviour of mixed solvents in GLC, but the ternary systems we have studied conform more te conventional theory of liquid mixtures rather than to a "micro-partitioning" theory.

APPENDIX

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { Derivation of } \ln K_{R}=\varphi_{\mathrm{B}} \ln K_{R(\mathrm{~B}}+\varphi_{\mathrm{C}} \ln K_{R(\mathrm{C})}+\varphi_{\mathrm{B}} \varphi_{\mathrm{C}} \chi_{\mathrm{BC}}  \tag{eqn.7}\\
& \mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{A}}=\frac{x_{\mathrm{A}} V_{\mathrm{A}}^{\bullet}}{x_{\mathrm{A}} V_{\mathrm{A}}^{\bullet}+\left(1-x_{\mathrm{A}}\right) V_{\mathrm{L}}} \\
\therefore \quad & \operatorname{limit}_{x_{\mathrm{A}} \rightarrow 0}\left(\frac{\varphi_{\mathrm{A}}}{x_{\mathrm{A}}}\right)=\frac{V_{\mathrm{A}}^{\bullet}}{V_{\mathrm{L}}} \\
\therefore \quad & \text { from eqn. } 5 \ln \gamma_{\mathrm{A}}^{\infty}=\ln \frac{V_{\mathrm{A}}^{\bullet}}{V_{\mathrm{L}}}+1-\frac{V_{\mathrm{A}}^{*}}{V_{\mathrm{L}}}+\varphi_{\mathrm{B}} \chi_{\mathrm{AB}}+\varphi_{\mathrm{C}} \chi_{\mathrm{AC}}-\varphi_{\mathrm{B}} \varphi_{\mathrm{C}} \chi_{\mathrm{BC}} \\
\therefore \quad & \text { from eqn. } 2 \ln K_{\mathrm{R}}=\ln \frac{R T}{P_{\mathrm{A}}^{\bullet}}+\left(\ln \gamma_{\mathrm{A}}^{\infty}-\ln \gamma_{\mathrm{P}, \mathrm{~A}}^{\infty}-\ln V_{\mathrm{A}}^{\bullet}-1+\frac{V_{\mathrm{A}}^{\bullet}}{V_{\mathrm{L}}}\right. \\
& -\varphi_{\mathrm{B}} \chi_{\mathrm{AB}}-\varphi_{\mathrm{C}} \chi_{\mathrm{AC}}+\varphi_{\mathrm{B}} \varphi_{\mathrm{C}} \chi_{\mathrm{BC}}
\end{align*}
$$

Applying this result to the binary systems:

$$
\ln K_{R(\mathrm{~B})}=\ln \frac{R T}{P_{\mathrm{A}}^{\bullet}}+\left(\ln \gamma_{\mathrm{A}}^{\infty}-\ln \gamma_{\mathrm{P} . \mathrm{A}}^{\infty}\right)-\ln V_{\mathrm{A}}^{\bullet}-1+\frac{V_{\mathbf{A}}^{\bullet}}{V_{\mathrm{B}}^{\bullet}}-\chi_{\mathrm{AB}}
$$

and

$$
\ln K_{R(\mathrm{C})}=\ln \frac{R T}{P_{\mathrm{A}}^{\infty}}+\left(\ln \gamma_{\mathrm{A}}^{\infty}-\ln \gamma_{\mathrm{P}, \mathrm{~A}}^{\infty}\right)-\ln V_{\mathrm{A}}^{\bullet}-1+\frac{V_{\mathrm{A}}^{\infty}}{V_{\mathrm{C}}^{\bullet}}-\chi_{\mathrm{AC}}
$$

where $V_{\mathrm{B}}^{\mathbf{0}}$ and $V_{\mathbf{c}}^{\mathbf{g}}$ are the molar volumes of the pure B and C , and where $\left(\ln \gamma_{\mathrm{A}}^{\infty}\right.$ In $\gamma_{\mathrm{P} . \mathrm{A}}^{\infty}$ ) is, according to eqn. 3, independent of the solvent.

Since $\varphi_{B}+\varphi_{C}=1$ at infinite dilution it follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\varphi_{\mathrm{B}} \ln K_{\mathrm{R}(\mathrm{~B})}+\varphi_{\mathrm{C}} \ln K_{\mathrm{R}(\mathrm{C})}= & \ln \frac{R T}{P_{\mathbf{A}}}+\left(\ln \gamma_{\mathrm{A}}^{\infty}-\ln \gamma_{\mathrm{P}, \mathrm{~A}}^{\infty}\right)-\ln V_{\mathrm{A}}^{\circ}-1 \\
& +V_{\mathrm{A}}^{\circ}\left(\frac{\varphi_{\mathrm{B}}}{V_{\mathrm{B}}^{\bullet}}+\frac{\varphi_{\mathrm{C}}}{V_{\mathrm{C}}^{\circ}}\right)-\varphi_{\mathrm{B}} \chi_{\mathrm{AB}}-\varphi_{\mathrm{C}} \chi_{\mathrm{AC}}
\end{aligned}
$$

But at infinite dilution $\varphi_{\mathrm{B}}=x_{\mathrm{B}} V_{\mathrm{B}}^{\mathrm{B}} / V_{\mathrm{L}}$ and $\varphi_{\mathrm{C}}=\left(1-x_{\mathrm{B}}\right) V_{\mathbf{C}} / V_{\mathrm{L}}$ where $x_{\mathrm{B}}$ is the mole fraction of $B$.
$\therefore \frac{\varphi_{\mathrm{B}}}{V_{\mathrm{B}}^{\circ}}+\frac{\varphi_{\mathrm{C}}}{V_{\mathrm{C}}^{\bullet}}=\frac{1}{V_{\mathrm{L}}}$
Hence, comparing with the expression for $\ln K_{R}$ above, eqn. 7 follows.
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